

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
24 January 2002 (24.01.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 02/05816 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **A61K 31/4545**,
9/14

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/IB01/01098**

(22) International Filing Date: **21 June 2001 (21.06.2001)**

(25) Filing Language: **English**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:
651/DEL/2000 **17 July 2000 (17.07.2000)** **IN**

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **RAN-
BAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED** [IN/IN]; 19, Nehru
Place, New Delhi 110 019 (IN).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **KUMAR,
Pananchukunath, Manoj** [IN/IN]; C-289 Albert Square,
Diz Area Gole Market, New Delhi 110 001 (IN). **GUPTA,
Dinsheet** [IN/IN]; C-5 Sushant Apartments, Sushant Lok
Phase-I, Gurgaon 122 001, Haryana (IN). **MALIK, Rajiv**
[IN/IN]; 6B, Pocket B, Gangotri Apartments, Alaknanda,
New Delhi 110 019 (IN).

(74) Common Representative: **RANBAXY LABORATO-
RIES LIMITED**; c/o Deshmukh, Jayadeep, Suite 2100,
600 College Road East, Princeton, NJ 08540 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,
MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK,
SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA,
ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,
IT, IJ, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF,
CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- *with international search report*
- *entirely in electronic form (except for this front page) and
available upon request from the International Bureau*

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: **A BIOAVAILABLE DOSAGE FORM OF LORATADINE**

(57) Abstract: **A bioavailable oral dosage form of loratadine of specific particle size and surface area.**

WO 02/05816 A1

A BIOAVAILABLE DOSAGE FORM OF LORATADINE

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a bioavailable oral dosage form of loratadine.

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Loratadine or ethyl 4-(8-chloro-5,6-dihydro-11H-benzo[5,6] cyclohepta [1,2-b] pyridin -11-ylidene)-1-piperidine carboxylate is useful as an antihistamine and is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,282,233.

10

Loratadine is particularly advantageous for use of an antihistamine compared to other drugs of the same class as it is administered only once daily and has little or no sedative effects. It is therefore preferred for use by patients who have to perform mental or physical tasks requiring a high level of concentration. Loratadine however poses problems to the formulator as it has low solubility in water and therefore shows poor bioavailability characteristics.

15

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an objective of the present invention to provide a bioavailable oral dosage form of loratadine, that is bioequivalent to the commercially available formulation and falls within the prescribed limits set by various International Regulatory Agencies.

20

Accordingly, the present invention provides a bioavailable oral dosage form of loratadine, comprising reduced particle size loratadine, such that the

average particle size ranges from about 0.1 microns to 15 microns and the average surface area falls between 1 and 2 m²/g.

It is observed that the particle size and the surface area of loratadine is critical in achieving bioequivalence against the commercially available formulation Claritin[®], marketed by Schering Corporation. The particle size of the drug is reduced thereby increasing its surface area using any of the conventional milling techniques known in the art. These include the use of ball mill, cad mill, multi mill, air jet mill etc.

In preferred embodiments of the invention, the size of the drug is reduced such that the average particle size ranges between 1 microns to 10 microns. The surface area of the milled drug is maintained between 1 and 2 m²/g.

The milled drug is then formulated into a suitable dosage form such as tablet, capsule, syrup, suspension etc. In preferred embodiments the pharmaceutical dosage form is a tablet. The milled drug is mixed with pharmaceutically acceptable excipients such as fillers, binders and lubricants and further processed using processes conventionally known in the art such as direct compression, compaction or wet granulation.

The fillers employed in the present invention preferably comprise a pharmaceutically acceptable saccharides, including monosaccharides, a disaccharides, and polysaccharides, polyhydric alcohols, or cellulose ethers and mixtures thereof. Examples of suitable pharmaceutical fillers include sucrose, dextrose, lactose, microcrystalline cellulose, fructose, xylitol, sorbitol,

hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, mixtures thereof and the like. However, it is preferred that a soluble pharmaceutical filler such as lactose, dextrose, sucrose, or mixtures thereof be used.

The binders used in accordance with the present invention are those conventionally used in the art may be selected from the group consisting of gums such as karaya gum and locus bean gum, starch, polyvinylpyrrolidones etc. The lubricants are selected from amongst those conventionally used in the art such as magnesium stearate, zinc stearate, talc, tristearin, tripalmitin, polyethylene glycols, waxes, hydrogenated oils, aerosil and mixtures thereof.

Investigations were conducted in order to determine the effect of particle size and surface area on the bioavailability of loratadine. The blood levels of the drug were compared with that of the commercially available formulation of loratadine sold under the trade name of Claritin[®]. The area under the plasma concentration (loratadine) vs time curve (AUC) was determined between time "0" and time "t" to give the $AUC_{(0-t)}$ values and was then extrapolated to infinity (α) to calculate the value till there was no more drug in the plasma. This value is reported as $AUC_{(0-\alpha)}$. The maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) was also determined for each subject after each treatment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The following examples further illustrate the invention but are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

EXAMPLE 1**Table 1.1**

Ingredients	Mg/Tab
Loratadine	10.0
Lactose	86.25
Starch	1.50
Pregelatinised starch	1.50
Magnesium stearate	0.75
Total	100.0mg

5 The particle size of loratadine was 90% below 47.2 microns and 50% below 10.7 microns. The surface area was 1.126 m²/g. The active and the inactive excipients are mixed and compressed to tablets. The tablets released more than 90% of the content in 0.1N HCl in USP apparatus I at 50 rpm.

0 The formulation was subjected to a two way cross over bioequivalence study with Claritin[®] (which was the reference product). Eighteen normal, male subjects were enrolled in each study. Whole blood samples were drawn at selected times following each treatment. Blood levels of the drug for both test and reference were determined and compared for the two critical parameters of AUC and C_{max} (Table 1.1). Test is the formulation made according to
5 present invention and reference is the formulation of loratadine sold under the trade name of Claritin[®].

Table 1.2

	AUC_(0-t)	AUC_(0-∞)	C_{max} (µg/ml)
Test/ Reference (%)	81.5	85	87.7

As can be seen when the particle size of loratadine was 90% below 47 microns, the formulation was only around 80% bioavailable as compared to the commercially available formulation of loratadine sold under the trade name Claritin®.

EXAMPLE 2

The process of granulation was changed from direct compression to wet granulation to study its effect, if any, on the bioavailability of the drug.

Table 2.1

Ingredients	Mg/Tab
Loratadine	10.0
Lactose	86.50
Starch	1.50
Pregelatinised starch	1.50
Magnesium stearate	0.50
Total	100.0mg

The particle size of loratadine was 90% below 47.2 microns and 50% below 10.7 microns and the surface area was 1.126 m²/g. The drug was mixed with the inactive excipients, and granulated using water. The granules were dried and the tablets were compressed. The tablets released 90% of the drug in 0.1 NHCl in USP apparatus 2 within 30 minutes.

This formulation was subjected to a two way cross over bioequivalence study with Claritin® on 18 normal male subjects as described in Example 1.

Table 2.2

	AUC _(0-t)	AUC _(0-∞)	C _{max} (μg/ml)
Test/ Reference (%)	74.8	85.1	67.5

Once again the bioavailability of the drug in our formulation was low compared to that of Claritin[®], indicating that changing the processing conditions does not improve the bioavailability characteristics of the drug.

EXAMPLE 3

As loratadine of the larger particle size showed lower bioavailability as compared to the commercially available product Claritin[®], it was decided to investigate the effect of reduction of particle size of the loratadine on its bioavailability.

Table 3.1

Ingredients	Mg/Tab
Loratadine	10.0
Lactose	79.75
Starch	7.5
Pregelatinised starch	2.0
Magnesium stearate	0.75
Total	100.0mg

The particle size of loratadine was 90% below 10 microns and 50% below 5 microns and the surface area was 1.54 m²/g. The drug was mixed with the inactive excipients, granulated using water, the granules were dried, lubricated and then compressed to tablets.

The tablets released more than 90% of the drug in 0.1 N HCl in USP apparatus 2 within 30 minutes. The formulation was subjected to a two way crossover bioequivalence study on 20 healthy, male subjects as described in Example 1.

5

Table 3.2

	AUC _(0-t)	AUC _(0-∞)	C _{max} (µg/ml)
Test/ Reference (%)	100.7	91.7	95.5

As can be seen from the data, reduction in particle size of the drug led to a dramatic increase in the bioavailability of loratadine to equal that of the commercially available product Claritin®.

10

The next example further illustrates the importance of particle size and increased surface area on the bioavailability of loratadine.

EXAMPLE 4**Table 4.1**

Ingredients	Mg/Tab
Loratadine	10.0
Lactose	80.60
Starch	7.5
Pregelatinised starch	2.0
Magnesium stearate	0.50
Total	100.0mg

15

The particle size of loratadine was almost similar to that used in example 3 i.e. 90% below 9 microns and 50% below 6 microns but the surface area at 2.042 m²/g was larger than that of loratadine used in Example

3. All the active and inactives were mixed and granulated using water. The granules were dried and compressed to tablets. The tablets released 90% of the drug in 0.1 NHCl in USP apparatus 2 within 45 minutes.

The formulation was subjected to a two way crossover study on 11 normal, healthy, male subjects as described in Example 1.

Table 4.2

	AUC _(0-t)	AUC _(0-∞)	C _{max} (μg/ml)
Test/ Reference (%)	134	124	130

Increase in the surface area together with the reduction of particle size caused a dramatic increase in the bioavailability of the drug to almost 30% greater than that of the commercially available reference product.

These results emphasize the criticality of particle size and surface area of loratadine on its bioavailability.

While the present invention has been described in terms of its specific embodiments, certain modifications and equivalents will be apparent to those skilled in the art and are intended to be included within the scope of the present invention.

WE CLAIM:

1. A bioavailable oral dosage form of loratadine comprising loratadine having an average particle size ranging from about 0.1 microns to about 15 microns and having a surface area ranging from between 1 and 2.5 m²/g.
2. The bioavailable oral dosage form of claim 1, wherein the particle size of loratadine is between about 1 micron to about 10 microns.
3. The bioavailable oral dosage form of claim 1, wherein the surface area of loratadine is between 1.25 and 2.0 m²/g.
4. The bioavailable oral dosage form of claim 1, wherein the drug is mixed with other pharmaceutically acceptable fillers, binders, and lubricants.
5. The bioavailable oral dosage form of claim 4, wherein the fillers used are selected from the group consisting of saccharides, polyhydric alcohols, celluloses, and cellulose ethers.
6. The bioavailable oral dosage form of claim 4, wherein the fillers are selected from the group consisting of lactose, dextrose, sucrose, microcrystalline celluloses, hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose, and mixtures thereof.
7. The bioavailable oral dosage form of claim 4, wherein the binders are selected from the group consisting of starch, polyvinylpyrrolidone, and gums.

8. The bioavailable oral dosage form of claim 4, wherein the lubricants are selected from the group consisting of talc, magnesium stearate, zinc stearate, tristearin, tripalmitin, polyethylene glycol, waxes, aerosil, and mixtures thereof.
9. The bioavailable oral dosage form of claim 1, wherein the dosage form is formulated as a tablet, capsule or suspension.
10. A process for the preparation of a bioavailable oral dosage form of loratadine comprising the step of milling said loratadine to reduce the particle size such that the average particle size ranges from about 0.1 microns to about 15 microns and the surface area ranges from between 1 and 2.5 m²/g.
11. The process as described in claim 10, wherein the particle size of loratadine is between about 1 micron to about 10 microns.
12. The process as described in claim 10, wherein the surface area of loratadine is between 1.25 and 2.0 m²/g.
13. The process as described in claim 10, wherein the milled drug is mixed with other pharmaceutically acceptable fillers, binders, and lubricants.
14. The process as described in claim 13, wherein the fillers used are selected from the group consisting of saccharides, polyhydric alcohols, celluloses, and cellulose ethers.
15. The process as described in claim 13, wherein the fillers are selected from the group consisting of lactose, dextrose, sucrose, micro-

crystalline celluloses, hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose, and mixtures thereof.

16. The process as described in claim 13, wherein the binders are selected from the group consisting of starch, polyvinylpyrrolidone, and gums.
17. The process as described in claim 13, wherein the lubricants are selected from the group consisting of talc, magnesium stearate, zinc stearate, tristearin, tripalmitin, polyethylene glycol, waxes, aerosil, and mixtures thereof.
18. The process as described in claim 10, wherein the dosage form is formulated as a tablet, capsule or suspension.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PC/IB 01/01098

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 A61K31/4545 A61K9/14

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

WPI Data, PAJ, CHEM ABS Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 99 62516 A (SCHERING) 9 December 1999 (1999-12-09) claims examples 1,3-5 page 7, line 10 - line 11 ---	1,4,9, 10,13,18
A,P	WO 01 10415 A (CTS) 15 February 2001 (2001-02-15) claims 1-8 example 4 -----	1,4,9, 10,13,18

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *G* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

1 November 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

08/11/2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Scarponi, U

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/IB 01/01098

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9962516	A	09-12-1999	US	6132758 A	17-10-2000
			AU	4308599 A	20-12-1999
			EP	1082117 A1	14-03-2001
			NL	1012191 C2	04-01-2000
			NL	1012191 A1	03-12-1999
			NO	20006079 A	30-11-2000
			WO	9962516 A1	09-12-1999
<hr/>					
WO 0110415	A	15-02-2001	AU	5842800 A	05-03-2001
			WO	0110415 A1	15-02-2001
<hr/>					